17

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No.1674/2006

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.R.Nayak, Chief Justice &
Hon'ble Shri Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh, J.

PETITIONER : Gurudarshan Singh Lamba, S/o Shri J.S.Lamba, aged about 30 years, occupation Advocate, r/o Deepak Nagar Durg, Tahsil and District Durg (CG)

V/s

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Chhattisgarh, D.K.S.Building Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Superintendent of Police, Bhilai, District Durg (CG).
3. The Managing Director, Steel Authority of India Limited, Bhilai, District Durg (CG)
4. Sourav Ispat Private Limited, through Bhagwati Raika S/o Late Durga Prasad Badri Prasad Raika, Heavy Area (Industrial), Bhopal (M.P.)
5. Satyanarayan, posted Weighing Clerk at Stock Yard, Steel Authority of India Limited, Bhilai District Durg (CG)

Smt. Renu Kochar, Counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vinay Harit, Dy.A.G. for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 19.4.2006)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by S.R. Nayak, C.J.

This writ petition is filed by an Advocate practicing in Durg, as *pro bono* public character, as a Public Interest Litigation. Although the allegation made in paragraph 5.1 is incomprehensible, what we could gather from the learned counsel for the petitioner in the course of hearing is that one Shri Satyanarayana who is a weighing clerk in the service of Bhilai Steel Plant on 15.2.2006 allowed additional iron to be lifted by one Sourav Isptat Private Limited and the value of the additional iron so lifted was Rs.50,000/-, and the said Satyanaraya

18

has been indulging in such illegal activities and though this matter was reported in the local media, the administration of Bhilai Steel Plant has not taken any corrective steps against the said Satyanarayana. The petitioner has rushed to this Court without bringing the alleged illegal act on the part of said Satyanarayana to the administration of Bhilai Steel Plant. Secondly, the alleged act on the part of a petty official like Satyanarayana, Weighing Clerk, could not be subject matter of a Public Interest Litigation.

2. Above all, the pleading laid before this Court is as vague as it could be and whatever little said is also not comprehensive. For all these reasons, we decline to entertain this Writ Petition. The Writ Petition is therefore, dismissed. However, this order shall not come in the way the petitioner to bring the alleged illegal act on the part of said Satyanarayana to the notice of administration of B.S.P., if he so desires.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh
Judge

Anjani